

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/803,678 | 03/18/2004 | Stephen Ray Wurzburger | RSSW17 | 7320 | |
| Robert Samuel | 7590 01/30/2008 Smith | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1263 Emory St | | | PHASGE, ARUN S | | |
| San Jose, CA 95126 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1795 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/30/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--|-------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/803,678 | | WURZBURGER, STEPHEN RAY | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | • | Arun S. Pha | 500 | 1795 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | dress | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/26 | <u>6/07</u> . | | | | | |
| ,— | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>3-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | awn from cor | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| ,— | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| , — | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Noti | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | Ę | I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da i) Notice of Informal P i) Other: | ite | | | |

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 3-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Three out of Four conditions said to be critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The conditions necessary for performing the process most efficiently are not claimed, including the use of pure water, the acid is very pure (only sulfuric acid is disclosed, however, the claims are broader and unclear whether the same results would be obtained by the use of another acid) and the acid/water mixture must be agitated during dissociation of the acid.

In Claim 12, wouldn't the solubility of the calcium compound decrease by the chilling, since the specification discloses the chilling of the oxidized calcium mixture (see page 21)? Isn't the addition of the surfactant essential (to be in claim 3), since the specification discloses such an addition and not as a option.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said polyprotic acid" in step (ii). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

10/803,678

Art Unit: 1795

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-2 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10/26/07.

Applicant's election with traverse of the product and different method in the reply filed on 10/26/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no other way of forming the claimed compound. This is not found persuasive because the prior art cited in the specification discloses that the compound would be formed and would have be short lived.

The other method is different and not merely a variation, because the preamble states that one is the complete dissociation of polyprotic acid in water and the other is the formation of a different compound. It is unclear how these two different objectives can be said to variations, merely because some of the steps may overlap.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number:

10/803,678

Art Unit: 1795

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/803,678

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

asp